Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document35 Filed09/16/11 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IRELL & MANELLA LLP Morgan Chu (SBN 70446) (mchu@irell.com) Jonathan S. Kagan (SBN 166039) (jkagan@irell.com) 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199 David C. McPhie (SBN 231520) (dmcphie@irell.com) Rebecca L. Clifford (SBN 254105) (rclifford@irell.com) 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324 Telephone: (949) 760-0991 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200	HOSIE RICE LLP Spencer Hosie (SBN 101777) (shosie@hosielaw.com) George F. Bishop (SBN 89205) gbishop@hosielaw.com Diane S. Rice (SBN 118303) drice@hosielaw.com William P. Nelson (SBN 196091) wnelson@hosielaw.com Transamerica Pyramid, 34th Floor 600 Montgomery Street San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 247-6000 Facsimile: (415) 247-6001 Attorneys for Plaintiff IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.	
11	Attorneys for Defendant JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15		CISCO DI VISION	
15 16	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.,) Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI	
) Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI) AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING	
16	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC.,) Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI	
16 17	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff,) Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI) AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM	
16 17 18	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs.	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IMPLICIT NETWORKS, INC., Plaintiff, vs. JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,	 Case No. 3:10-cv-4234-SI AGREED STIPULATION REGARDING PATENT LOCAL RULE 2-2 INTERIM MODEL PROTECTIVE ORDER 	

Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document35 Filed09/16/11 Page2 of 3

1	WHEREAS Patent Local Rule 2-2 provides that the Northern District Interim Model		
2	Protective Order ("Model Protective Order") governs this case and will continue to govern unless		
3	the parties agree otherwise;		
4	WHEREAS, paragraph 8 of the Model Protective Order imposes a prosecution bar		
5	applicable to "the subject matter of the invention and of highly confidential technical information		
6	to be produced";		
7	WHEREAS, for the avoidance of doubt, the parties wish to memorialize their agreement		
8	regarding the subject matter of the prosecution bar in this case;		
9	THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate that "the subject matter of the invention and of		
10	highly confidential technical information to be produced," for purposes of the Model Protective		
11	Order in this case (including paragraph 8) is "data demultiplexing, or network routers, switches,		
12	and security products, including the operating system and software used to control network		
13	routers, switches, or security products." Moreover, the parties are agreed and hereby stipulate that		
14	this definition of the subject matter of the prosecution bar shall apply in this case retroactively and		
15	going forward as if inserted in full as appropriate into paragraph 8 of the Model Protective Order,		
16	and shall be enforceable as if fully set forth therein.		
17			
18	DATED: September 13, 2011 HOSIE RICE LLP		
19			
20	By: <u>/s/ George F. Bishop</u> George F. Bishop		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff Implicit Networks, Inc.		
22	IRELL & MANELLA LLP		
23			
24	By: <u>/s/ Nima Hefazi</u> Nima Hefazi		
25			
26	Attorney for Defendant Juniper Networks, Inc.		
27	//		
28	//		
	- 1 -		

Case3:10-cv-04234-SI Document35 Filed09/16/11 Page3 of 3

1	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDER	RED.
2		Suran Staton
3	,	Hon. Susan Illston
4	1	United States District Court Judge
5	5	
6	5	
7	7	
8	3	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	3	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	